

ethylene C-H bonds in competition with reaction at the double bond is consistent with the liquid phase experiments of Closs, *et al.*³ The isomerization of cyclopropane formed by the addition of CH₂ to C₂H₄ is readily observed, and, at these pressures, would account for more than half of the original cyclopropane formed. The kinetic parameters, including especially the energies of activation, are not very different for monohalocyclopropanes from those of the pure hydrocarbon. Consequently, the lack of isomerization following CTCl addition to ethylene must result from a considerably lower excitation energy in the excited molecule, reflecting a lower heat of addition to the double bond for monochlorocarbene than in the corresponding CH₂.

An additional major radioactive product was observed from the CH₂ClF-C₂H₄-O₂ samples, with a relative yield compared to the parent of 0.36 ± 0.02. This compound corresponds to a monofluoro C₃ compound, and probably is cyclopropyl-*t* fluoride, from the reaction with ethylene of CTF formed by the expected elimination of HCl from CHTClF*. Further experiments are being carried out since monofluorocarbene has not yet been reported in the literature.

Pyrolytic decomposition of the molecules CH₂Cl₂ and CH₂ClF presumably will also result in the formation of monohalocarbenes. The recoil tritium method of excitation has the advantage that all of the other molecules in the system except those specifically excited by tritium reaction have a much lower state of excitation, determined by the ambient temperature of irradiation, and are not expected to undergo subsequent pyrolytic reactions. This is particularly useful and important when the observations are based on molecules (*e.g.*, monohalocyclopropane) whose kinetic parameters indicate comparable or more rapid rates of decomposition than the dihalomethane molecules themselves. The recoil tritium has in addition both the advantages and disadvantages of being a radioactive tracer method: the products have the asset of containing radioactive atoms, and hence can easily be traced; on the other hand, they are formed in negligible quantities unsuitable for further experiments (synthetic, spectroscopic, etc.) requiring macroscopic quantities of the compound.

(13) Address correspondence to Department of Chemistry, University of California, Irvine, Calif.

Yi-NooTang,¹⁸ F. S. Rowland¹⁸

Department of Chemistry, University of Kansas,
Lawrence, Kansas

Department of Chemistry, University of California,
Irvine, California

Received January 29, 1965

Photosensitization by Ferrocene. Photochemistry of Higher Electronic Excited States

Sir:

We wish to report that ferrocene catalyzes the photochemical *cis-trans* isomerization of piperylene and the dimerization of isoprene. The evidence leads us to suggest that the mechanism for these reactions involves a complex of ferrocene and olefin which absorbs light and is excited to an electronic state considerably higher than the first excited singlet. This excited state disso-

ciates to ferrocene in a triplet state and to a triplet olefin which then isomerizes or adds to a ground-state olefin.

Much recent work has demonstrated the capacity of various substances, called photosensitizers, to promote the isomerization and dimerization of various olefins.¹⁻⁴ In many of these cases the mechanism of photosensitization has been shown to involve transfer of energy and multiplicity from the sensitizer to the olefin with resultant excitation of the olefin to its lowest triplet state. In some other cases, *e.g.*, the photoaddition of maleic anhydride to benzene, the reaction proceeds *via* the triplet state of the complex.⁵ The addition of maleic anhydride to cyclohexene has been reported to involve formation of an intermediate complex.⁶ Also, recent reports indicate that cuprous chloride and rhodium chloride catalyze photocyclization of 1,5-cyclooctadiene.⁷

We have observed that ferrocene accelerates the photoisomerization of *trans*-piperylene by a factor of at least five and catalyzes the photodimerization of both piperylene and isoprene. The photolyses were carried out in sealed, degassed Pyrex tubes. A Hanovia 450-watt medium-pressure mercury lamp in a quartz immersion well provided the necessary irradiation. The dimerization reactions were studied using neat olefin solutions; the isomerizations were accomplished using various concentrations of piperylene in solvents such as benzene, decalin, and *trans*-1,2-dimethylcyclohexane. In a typical isomerization experiment a solution of 1.0 *M* ferrocene and 0.2 *M* *trans*-piperylene in benzene was isomerized 9.3% (corrected for back reaction) in 3 hr. while a similar solution without ferrocene was isomerized 2.0% after 4 hr. The dimerization of isoprene was studied in neat solutions of isoprene that contained 0.05 *M* ferrocene. If a Pyrex immersion well housed the lamp (minimum wave length 2800 Å.) the reactions were markedly slowed. A uranyl glass filter (minimum wave length 3300 Å.) completely stopped the ferrocene-induced isomerization of piperylene. On the other hand, substitution of quartz for Pyrex tubes accelerated the isomerization reaction by increasing the intensity of the light in the 2800 Å. region.

The photostationary state observed in ferrocene-sensitized piperylene isomerization and the product distribution for the ferrocene-sensitized dimerization of isoprene are the same as those reported in cases where the energy of the triplet state of the sensitizer exceeds the triplet-state energy of the olefin so that olefin triplet states are easily generated from both isomers ("high energy" sensitizers).^{1,2,8} Thus, we find that ferrocene-

(1) G. S. Hammond, N. J. Turro, and P. A. Leermakers, *J. Phys. Chem.*, **66**, 1144 (1962).

(2) J. Saltiel and G. S. Hammond, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **85**, 2515 (1963).

(3) R. S. H. Liu, Ph.D. Thesis, California Institute of Technology, 1964.

(4) G. S. Hammond and R. S. H. Liu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **85**, 477 (1963).

(5) G. S. Hammond and W. M. Hardham, *Proc. Chem. Soc.*, 63 (1963).

(6) R. Robson, P. W. Grubb, and J. A. Barltrop, *J. Chem. Soc.*, 2153 (1964).

(7) R. Srinivasan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **85**, 819 (1963); **86**, 3318 (1964).

(8) The value for the percentage cyclobutanes and cyclooctadienes reported in ref. 5 is incorrect. The correct value of the dimer composition for a high-energy sensitizer is 92% cyclobutanes and cyclooctadienes (R. S. H. Liu, personal communication).

